

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DRI ICATIONI NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO. 09/891,546	06/26/2001	Dale F. McIntyre	83012F-P	1860	
,			EXAMINER		
7590 04/21/2004 Milton S. Sales			ROSARIO-VASQUEZ, DENNIS		
Patent Legal Staff			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2621 DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	4 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>.</u>	M	
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
	09/891,54	16	MCINTYRE, DALE F.	
Office Action Sumi	nary Examiner		Art Unit	-
	Dennis Ro	osario-Vasquez	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
eriod for Reply		O EVRIPE 2 MONT	H(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended pounds of the property of the company reply received by the Office later than the samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi- of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stat maximum statutory period will apply and w striod for reply will, by statute, cause the appraree months after the mailing date of this co	ent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) o ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication NFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
status				
1) Responsive to communication	tion(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> .			
This action is EINAL	2h\⊠ This action is r	non-final.	prospoution as to the merits i	s
3) Since this application is in	condition for allowance except	t for formal matters,	A53 O.G. 213	
closed in accordance with	the practice under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	wed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejecte	d.			
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.	iromont		
8) Claim(s) are subje	et to restriction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
a) M. The energification is object	ed to by the Examiner.			
40\☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26	: June 2001 is/are: a)∐ accep	oted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.	
a it is a manufact t	not any objection to the drawing(s)) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFK 1.03(a).	1(d)
Replacement drawing shee	(s) including the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s)	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	rta).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Of	nice Action of form 1 10 102.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign priority t	under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:			
1 Cortified copies of	the priority documents have be	een received.		
o D Comission of	the priority documents have be	een received in Appl	lication No	
3 ☐ Copies of the certi	fied copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the	e International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of the ce	ertified copies not rec	ceivea.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89))2)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (170 d.	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date Imal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s		5) I NOUCE OF HILL	illiai i atomi, pp. ce e	

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because figures 22 and 23 have the word "fig" missing due to the paper hole punch.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1 of the specification provides a cross reference to related applications. A request is made for updating the serial numbers and filing dates of the related applications.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. The following quotations of 37 CFR § 1.75(a) is the basis of objection:
 - (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- 4. Claim 1,4 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75(a) as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.

Art Unit: 2621

5. Regarding claims 1 and 4 have the phrase "of the high resolutions digital image files" at lines 7 and 7, respectively. The phrase does not make the claims clearly understood. Therefore the phrase will be interpreted as "of the high resolution[] digital image files".

Claim 6 is referring to a method clam, but claim 6 depends on system claim 4. Therefore at claim 6, line 1, "A method" will be interpreted as "A system".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (US Patent 5,761,404 A) and in view of Hess et al. (US Patent 6,058,417).

Regarding claim 4, Murakami et al. teaches a system (fig. 1) for providing assistance in recreating (fig. 1, num. 150) a digital image file on a user computer (fig. 1, num. 235) from information (fig. 1, num. 120) obtained over a communication network (fig. 1, num. 110 and 210) retained at a remote location (Fig. 1, num. 100 "remotely read[s] out data from a local file" using the flow chart of figure 5, num. 655) with respect to said image file, comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

A provider having a provider computer (fig. 1, num. 100) that analyzes a user storage device (fig. 1, num. 235) in said user computer over said communication network so as to obtain (fig. 1, num. 145) digital image files stored on said storage device and storage parameters (fig. 1, num. 120:" WORK-FLOW MANAGING UNIT" or more specifically, figure 2 is a table that is used by the WORK-FLOW MANAGING UNIT. Within the WORK-FLOW MANAGING UNIT shows a storage parameter 350: "ATTRIBUTE COLUMN") of the digital image files;

Said provider storing said digital image files and said storage parameters at a remote server (fig. 1, num. 100 or remote server comprises the said provider.) of a provider;

Said provider transmitting (fig. 5, num. 660) said storage parameters over a communication network (fig. 1, num. 110 and 210) to said user computer so as to assist in reconstructing the digital image files in said user storage device (Using figure 1, Murakami et al. states,"...file-transfer managing unit 155, which determines the transfer destination of the file at the transfer origin, retransmits the data to a user requesting the recovery. In this way, the loss due to lost data can be suppressed to a minimum (col. 9, lines 26-31).").

Murakami et al. does not teach reconstructing a digital image with a high and low resolution as claimed, but Murakami et al. does teach that a user performs document processing of an image at col. 5, lines 11,12 and retrieves an earlier version of a processed image.

Art Unit: 2621

However, Hess, in the field of endeavor of network file management, does teach the use of low-resolution images for storage within a server that is used with a network. More specifically first, Hess teaches that a user provides the location of an original document to a server (fig. 7, num. 710). Second, the original image is downloaded (fig. 7, num. 730) in the server and is reduced in size and stored as a thumbnail or low-resolution image (fig. 7, num. 760) for later display to other users over a network using a network browser (fig. 9a).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the teachings of Hess et al.'s storage of low-resolution images within a server with the teachings of Murakami's storage parameters of figure 2, num. 350 for image retrieval, because "users that access...the thumb server 430...will have enhanced performance as a result of the thumbnail images being cached because the data for rendering the web pages will be available much faster (Hess et al., col. 9, lines 40-45)." Therefore a user can retrieve an image with Murakami's storage parameters while viewing a thumbnail of the desired image that will be retrieved.

Regarding claim 5, Hess et al. teaches the system according to claim 1 wherein said storage parameters comprises data structure of said high resolution digital image files. Hess teaches that an image can be retrieved by using a URL or a directory path supplied by an user at the step of figure 7, num. 710 and at col. 7, lines 45-50.

Art Unit: 2621

, 2 ,

Regarding claim 6, Hess et al. teaches the system according to claim 4 wherein said storage parameters are updated at routine communication intervals. Hess states,"...a user may change the originally specified image or provide a new URL to be associated with an item for sale (col.6, lines 42-44)."

Claim 1 is similar to and addressed in claim 4.

Claim 2 is similar to and addressed in claim 5.

Claim 3 is similar to and addressed in claim 6.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schutzman et al. (US Patent 6,505,216 B1) is pertinent as teaching a method of backing up files (fig. 1, num. 113) using portions of a file(fig. 1, num. 114-1, 114-2, 114-L) to recover a potion (114-2 is used to back up section 116-2 of the original file) of the original file (116-1, 116-2, and 116-K).

Midgley et al. (US Patent 6,460,055 B1) is pertinet as teaching a method of backing up files using an updated directory (fig. 4).

Sakai et al. (US PGPB 2001/0003180 A1) is pertinent as teaching a method of selecting a thumbnail from a client computer for storage on a server at col. 17, paragraph 284.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Rosario-Vasquez whose telephone number is 703-305-5431. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Boudreau can be reached on 703-305-4706. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis Rosario-Vasquez Unit 2621

LEO BOUDREAU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600